arXiv:hep-ph/0107257v2 30 Jul 2001

hep-ph/0107257

Determining the Factorization Parameter and Strong Phase Differences in $B \to D^{(*)}\pi$ Decays

Zhi-zhong Xing [1]
Institute of High Energy Physics, P.O. Box 918 (4), Beijing 100039, China

Abstract

The first observation of the color-suppressed decay mode $\bar{B}^0_d \to D^{(*)0}\pi^0$ by the Belle and CLEO Collaborations makes a quantitative analysis of the isospin relations for the amplitudes of $B \to D^{(*)}\pi$ possible. The strong (isospin) phase difference in $B \to D\pi$ transitions is found to be about 29° by use the Belle data or 26° by use of the CLEO data, implying that final-state interactions might not be negligible. Applying the factorization approximation to $I = 3/2$ and $I = 1/2$ isospin amplitudes of $B \to D\pi$ decays, we obtain the ratio of the effective Wilson coefficients $a_1^{\rm eff}$ and $a_2^{\rm eff}$: $a_2^{\rm eff}/a_1^{\rm eff} \approx 0.27$. A similar analysis shows that the magnitude of final-state interactions in $B \to D^*\pi$ might be comparable with that in $B \to D\pi$, and the factorization hypothesis works consistently in both of them.

[1]Electronic address: xingzz@mail.ihep.ac.cn

Two-body nonleptonic decays of the type $B \to D\pi$ have been of great interest in B physics for a stringent test of the factorization hypothesis and a quantitative analysis of final-state interactions [1]. The color-suppressed decay mode $\bar{B}^0_d \to D^0\pi^0$ has for the first time been observed by the Belle [2] and CLEO [3] Collaborations. Its branching ratio is found to be

$$\mathcal{B}_{00} = \begin{cases} (2.9^{+0.4}_{-0.3} \pm 0.6) \cdot 10^{-4} & \text{(Belle)} , \\ (2.6 \pm 0.3 \pm 0.6) \cdot 10^{-4} & \text{(CLEO)} . \end{cases} \tag{1}$$

In comparison, the branching ratios of the color-favored decay modes $\bar{B}^0_d \to D^+\pi^-$ and $B^-_u \to D^0\pi^-$ are [4]

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}_{+-} &= (3.0 \pm 0.4) \cdot 10^{-3} , \\ \mathcal{B}_{0-} &= (5.3 \pm 0.5) \cdot 10^{-3} . \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

One can see that the naively-expected color suppression *does* appear for $\bar{B}^0_d \to D^0\pi^0$; i.e., $\mathcal{B}_{+-} \sim \mathcal{B}_{0-} \sim 3^2 \cdot \mathcal{B}_{00}$. With the help of the new experimental data in Eq. (1), one is now able to analyze the isospin relations for the amplitudes of $B \to D\pi$ decays in a more complete way than before (see, e.g., Refs. [6, 7, 8]). Then it becomes possible to check whether final-state interactions are significant in such exclusive $|\Delta B| = |\Delta C| = 1$ transitions, and whether the factorization approximation works well.

The main purpose of this paper is to determine the strong (isospin) phase difference and the factorization parameter $a_2^{\rm eff}/a_1^{\rm eff}$ in $B \to D\pi$ decays. The former is found to be about 29° by use of the Belle data or 26° by use of the CLEO data, implying that final-state interactions might not be negligible. We obtain $a_2^{\rm eff}/a_1^{\rm eff} \approx 0.27$, a value in good agreement with the theoretical expectation. At the end of this paper, we present a similar isospin analysis for the decay modes $B \to D^*\pi$. Our result shows that the magnitude of final-state interactions in $B \to D^*\pi$ transitions might be comparable with that in $B \to D\pi$ transitions, and the factorization approximation works consistently in both of them.

The effective weak Hamiltonian responsible for $\bar{B}^0_d \to D^+\pi^-$, $\bar{B}^0_d \to D^0\pi^0$ and $B^-_u \to D^0\pi^-$ transitions [5] has the isospin configuration $|1,-1\rangle$. Therefore their amplitudes, defined respectively as A_{+-}, A_{00} and A_{0-}, can be decomposed as follows [7]:

$$\begin{aligned} A_{+-} &= A_{3/2} + \sqrt{2} A_{1/2} , \\ A_{00} &= \sqrt{2} A_{3/2} - A_{1/2} , \\ A_{0-} &= 3 A_{3/2} , \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

where $A_{3/2}$ and $A_{1/2}$ stand respectively for $I = 3/2$ and $I = 1/2$ isospin amplitudes. In obtaining Eq. (3), we have assumed that there is no mixture of $B \to D\pi$ with other channels [9]. It is obvious that three transition amplitudes form an isospin triangle in the complex plane: $A_{+-} + \sqrt{2} A_{00} = A_{0-}$. Of course, the sizes of A_{+-}, A_{00} and A_{0-} can straightforwardly be determined from the branching ratios given in Eqs. (1) and (2). Then we are able to extract the ratio $A_{3/2}/A_{1/2}$, both its size and its phase, by use of Eq. (3). We find

$$\begin{aligned} r &\equiv \left| \frac{A_{3/2}}{A_{1/2}} \right| \\ &= \sqrt{\frac{\mathcal{B}_{0-}}{3\kappa(\mathcal{B}_{+-} + \mathcal{B}_{00}) - \mathcal{B}_{0-}}} , \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta &\equiv \arg\left(\frac{A_{3/2}}{A_{1/2}}\right) \\
&= \arccos\left[\frac{3\kappa(\mathcal{B}_{+-}-2\mathcal{B}_{00})+\mathcal{B}_{0-}}{\sqrt{8\mathcal{B}_{0-}[3\kappa(\mathcal{B}_{+-}+\mathcal{B}_{00})-\mathcal{B}_{0-}]}}\right] , \qquad (4)
\end{aligned}$$

where $\kappa \equiv \tau_{B_u^-}/\tau_{\bar{B}_d^0} = 1.073 \pm 0.027$ [4] measures the difference between the life time of $\bar{B}_d^0$ and that of B_u^-. On the other hand, the tiny phase-space corrections induced by the mass differences $m_{D^0} - m_{D^-}$ and $m_{\pi^0} - m_{\pi^-}$ have been neglected in obtained Eq. (4).

Using the central values of $\mathcal{B}_{+-}$, $\mathcal{B}_{00}$, $\mathcal{B}_{0-}$ and κ, we obtain the following result for r and δ:

$$\begin{aligned}
r &\approx \begin{cases} 1.0 & \text{(Belle)} , \\ 1.0 & \text{(CLEO)} ; \end{cases} \\
\delta &\approx \begin{cases} 29^\circ & \text{(Belle)} , \\ 26^\circ & \text{(CLEO)} . \end{cases} \qquad (5)
\end{aligned}$$

If errors of the input parameters are taken into account, we find that r may change from 0.5 to 1.5 and δ can be as small as 0° in the extreme case. It is most likely, however, that δ takes the value given in Eq. (5), implying that final-state interactions in $B \to D\pi$ transitions might not be small. In addition, $r \approx 1$ means that the two isospin amplitudes have comparable contributions to the decay modes $\bar{B}_d^0 \to D^+\pi^-$ and $\bar{B}_d^0 \to D^0\pi^0$.

Let us proceed to calculate the isospin amplitudes $A_{3/2}$ and $A_{1/2}$ with the help of the factorization hypothesis. First of all, we assume that final-state interactions were absent (i.e., $\delta = 0$). In this assumption, the transition amplitudes of $\bar{B}_d^0 \to D^+\pi^-$, $\bar{B}_d^0 \to D^0\pi^0$ and $B_u^- \to D^0\pi^-$ can be expressed in terms of three topologically different quark-diagram amplitudes: X (color-favored topology), Y (color-suppressed topology) and Z (annihilation topology) [10, 11]. Explicitly, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
A_{+-}(\delta=0) &= X + Z , \\
A_{00}(\delta=0) &= \frac{Y}{\sqrt{2}} - \frac{Z}{\sqrt{2}} , \\
A_{0-}(\delta=0) &= X + Y , \qquad (6)
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
X &= \frac{G_{\rm F}}{\sqrt{2}}\, a_1^{\rm eff}(V_{cb}V_{ud}^*)\langle\pi^-|(\bar{d}u)_{\rm V-A}|0\rangle\langle D^+|(\bar{c}b)_{\rm V-A}|\bar{B}_d^0\rangle , \\
Y &= \frac{G_{\rm F}}{\sqrt{2}}\, a_2^{\rm eff}(V_{cb}V_{ud}^*)\langle D^0|(\bar{c}u)_{\rm V-A}|0\rangle\langle\pi^-|(\bar{d}b)_{\rm V-A}|B_u^-\rangle , \\
Z &= \frac{G_{\rm F}}{\sqrt{2}}\, a_2^{\rm eff}(V_{cb}V_{ud}^*)\langle D^+\pi^-|(\bar{c}u)_{\rm V-A}|0\rangle\langle 0|(\bar{d}b)_{\rm V-A}|\bar{B}_d^0\rangle \qquad (7)
\end{aligned}$$

in the QCD-improved factorization approximation [12]. Here $a_1^{\rm eff}$ and $a_2^{\rm eff}$ are the effective Wilson coefficients, V_{cb} and V_{ud} are the relevant Cabibbo-Kobayashi-Maskawa matrix

elements. Now we take final-state interactions into account (i.e., $\delta \neq 0$). The isospin amplitudes $A_{3/2}$ and $A_{1/2}$ can then be written as

$$\begin{aligned} A_{3/2} &= \left(\frac{X}{3} + \frac{Y}{3}\right) e^{i\delta_{3/2}} , \\ A_{1/2} &= \left(\frac{\sqrt{2}X}{3} - \frac{Y}{3\sqrt{2}} + \frac{Z}{\sqrt{2}}\right) e^{i\delta_{1/2}} , \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

where $\delta_{3/2}$ and $\delta_{1/2}$ represent the strong phases of $I = 3/2$ and $I = 1/2$ isospin configurations, respectively. Note that $\delta_{3/2} - \delta_{1/2} = \delta$ holds by definition. Substituting Eq. (8) into Eq. (3) and taking $\delta_{3/2} = \delta_{1/2}$, we are able to reproduce Eq. (6).

In comparison with X and Y, the annihilation topology Z is expected to have significant form-factor suppression [13]. Therefore we neglect Z and obtain

$$r \;=\; \sqrt{2}\,\frac{X+Y}{2X-Y} \;=\; \sqrt{2}\,\frac{a_1^{\rm eff} + \zeta a_2^{\rm eff}}{2a_1^{\rm eff} - \zeta a_2^{\rm eff}} \tag{9}$$

from Eqs. (7) and (8), where

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta &\equiv \frac{\langle D^0|(\bar{c}u)_{\rm V-A}|0\rangle\langle\pi^-|(\bar{d}b)_{\rm V-A}|B_u^-\rangle}{\langle\pi^-|(\bar{d}u)_{\rm V-A}|0\rangle\langle D^+|(\bar{c}b)_{\rm V-A}|\bar{B}_d^0\rangle} \\ &= \frac{(m_B^2 - m_\pi^2)\; f_D\; F_0^{B\to\pi}(m_D^2)}{(m_B^2 - m_D^2)\; f_\pi\; F_0^{B\to D}(m_\pi^2)} \;. \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

Using $r \approx 1.0$ obtained in Eq. (5) and $\zeta \approx 0.9$ given in Ref. [11], we can determine the ratio of the effective Wilson coefficients $a_1^{\rm eff}$ and $a_2^{\rm eff}$ with the help of Eq. (9):

$$\frac{a_2^{\rm eff}}{a_1^{\rm eff}} \;=\; \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\zeta}\cdot\frac{\sqrt{2}\,r - 1}{r + \sqrt{2}} \;\approx\; 0.27 \;. \tag{11}$$

This result is in good agreement with the theoretical expectation [12].

Next we turn to the decay modes $\bar{B}_d^0 \to D^{*+}\pi^-$, $\bar{B}_d^0 \to D^{*0}\pi^0$ and $B_u^- \to D^{*0}\pi^-$. The Belle and CLEO Collaborations have recently reported the evidence for the color-suppressed transition $\bar{B}_d^0 \to D^{*0}\pi^0$, from which a preliminary value of the branching ratio can be obtained [2, 3]:

$$\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{00} \;=\; \begin{cases} (1.5^{+0.6+0.3}_{-0.5-0.4}) \cdot 10^{-4} & \text{(Belle)} \;, \\ (2.0 \pm 0.5 \pm 0.7) \cdot 10^{-4} & \text{(CLEO)} \;. \end{cases} \tag{12}$$

In contrast, the color-favored decay modes $\bar{B}_d^0 \to D^{*+}\pi^-$ and $B_u^- \to D^{*0}\pi^-$ have the following branching ratios [4]:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{+-} &= (2.76 \pm 0.21) \cdot 10^{-3} \;, \\ \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{0-} &= (4.6 \pm 0.4) \cdot 10^{-3} \;. \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

As $B \to D^*\pi$ decays have the same isospin configurations as $B \to D\pi$, one may carry out an analogous analysis to determine the ratio of $I = 3/2$ and $I = 1/2$ isospin amplitudes

(both its magnitude $\tilde{r}$ and its phase $\tilde{\delta}$) for the former. We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{r} &\approx \begin{cases} 0.98 & \text{(Belle)}\,, \\ 0.97 & \text{(CLEO)}\,; \end{cases} \\ \tilde{\delta} &\approx \begin{cases} 19^\circ & \text{(Belle)}\,, \\ 25^\circ & \text{(CLEO)}\,, \end{cases} \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

using the central values of $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{+-}$, $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{00}$, $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{0-}$ and κ. We see that the magnitude of final-state interactions in $B \to D^*\pi$ decays might be comparable with that in $B \to D\pi$ decays. A more precise measurement of $\bar{B}^0_d \to D^{*0}\pi^0$ will narrow the error bar associated with its branching ratio $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{00}$ and allow us to extract the value of $\tilde{\delta}$ reliably. The result $\tilde{r} \approx 1$, similar to $r \approx 1$ for $B \to D\pi$, indicating that the two isospin amplitudes have comparable contributions to the decay modes $\bar{B}^0_d \to D^{*+}\pi^-$ and $\bar{B}^0_d \to D^{*0}\pi^0$.

Applying the factorization approximation to $B \to D^*\pi$ decays, one may analogously calculate the ratio of the effective Wilson coefficients $\tilde{a}_1^{\rm eff}$ and $\tilde{a}_2^{\rm eff}$. The result is

$$\frac{\tilde{a}_2^{\rm eff}}{\tilde{a}_1^{\rm eff}} = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\tilde{\zeta}} \cdot \frac{\sqrt{2}\,\tilde{r} - 1}{\tilde{r} + \sqrt{2}} \approx 0.25\,, \tag{15}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\zeta} &\equiv \frac{\langle D^{*0}|(\bar{c}u)_{\rm V-A}|0\rangle\langle\pi^-|(\bar{d}b)_{\rm V-A}|B^-_u\rangle}{\langle\pi^-|(\bar{d}u)_{\rm V-A}|0\rangle\langle D^{*+}|(\bar{c}b)_{\rm V-A}|\bar{B}^0_d\rangle} \\ &= \frac{f_{D^*}\ F_+^{B\to\pi}(m^2_{D^*})}{f_\pi\ A_0^{B\to D^*}(m^2_\pi)} \approx 0.9 \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

has been used [11]. One can see that the values of $\tilde{a}_2^{\rm eff}/\tilde{a}_1^{\rm eff}$ and $a_2^{\rm eff}/a_1^{\rm eff}$ are consistent with each other.

In summary, we have analyzed the isospin relations for the amplitudes of $\bar{B}^0_d \to D^{(*)+}\pi^-$, $\bar{B}^0_d \to D^{(*)0}\pi^0$ and $B^-_u \to D^{(*)0}\pi^-$ transitions. The strong phase differences are found to be about 29° (or 26°) and 19° (or 25°), respectively, in $B \to D\pi$ and $B \to D^*\pi$. We have also applied the factorization hypothesis to the decay modes under discussion. We find that the value of the factorization parameter $a_2^{\rm eff}/a_1^{\rm eff}$ extracted from $B \to D\pi$ is compatible with that extracted from $B \to D^*\pi$, and both of them are in good agreement with the theoretical expectation. We await more precise measurements of the color-suppressed decay modes $\bar{B}^0_d \to D^0\pi^0$ and $\bar{B}^0_d \to D^{*0}\pi^0$ at B-meson factories, in order to make a more stringent test of the factorization approximation and a more accurate analysis of final-state interactions.

I am deeply indebted to H.Y. Cheng for his enlightening comments on this paper, for correcting a crucial numerical error in its original version, and for calling my attention to the new CLEO data. I am also grateful to T.E. Browder for pointing out a typing error, and to J.F. Sun and D.S. Yang for useful discussions.

References

[1] For recent reviews with extensive references, see: M. Neubert and B. Stech, hep-ph/9705292; M. Beneke, G. Buchalla, M. Neubert, and C.T. Sachrajda, Nucl. Phys. B **591** (2000) 313.

[2] Belle Collaboration, K. Abe *et al.*, hep-ex/0107048.

[3] Z. Ligeti, talk given at the International Europhysics Conference on High Energy Physics (HEP2001), July 2001, Budapest, Hungary; E. von Toerne, talk given at the same conference; D. Cassel, talk given at the 20th International Symposium on Lepton and Photon Interactions at High Energies (Lepton Photon 01), July 2001, Rome, Italy.

[4] Particle Data Group, D.E. Groom *et al.*, Eur. Phys. J. C **15** (2000) 1; and 2001 partial update for edition 2002 (URL: http://pdg.lbl.gov).

[5] G. Buchalla, A.J. Buras, and M.E. Lautenbacher, Rev. Mod. Phys. **68** (1996) 1125.

[6] H. Yamamoto, preprint HUTP-94/A006 (unpublished).

[7] Z.Z. Xing, Phys. Lett. B **364** (1995) 55. Note that the relevant Clebsch-Gordan coefficients have been absorbed into $A_{3/2}$ and $A_{1/2}$.

[8] M. Suzuki, Phys. Rev. D **58** (1998) 115041.

[9] M. Wirbel, B. Stech, and M. Bauer, Z. Phys. C **29** (1985) 637; M. Bauer, B. Stech, and M. Wirbel, Z. Phys. C **34** (1987) 103.

[10] Z.Z. Xing, Nuovo Cim. A **108** (1995) 1143; Z.Z. Xing and J. Lu, Nuovo Cim. A **109** (1996) 549.

[11] M. Neubert, hep-ph/0012204.

[12] J.D. Bjorken, Nucl. Phys. (Proc. Suppl.) B **11** (1989) 325; M. Dugan and B. Grinstein, Phys. Lett. B **255** (1991) 583; D. Politzer and M. Wise, Phys. Lett. B **257** (1991) 399; B. Blok and M. Shifman, Nucl. Phys. B **389** (1993) 534; M. Beneke, G. Buchalla, M. Neubert, and C. Sachrajda, Phys. Rev. Lett. **83** (1999) 1914; C.W. Bauer, D. Pirjol, and I.W. Stewart, hep-ph/0107002.

[13] Z.Z. Xing, Phys. Rev. D **53** (1996) 2847; B. Blok, M. Gronau, and J.L. Rosner, Phys. Rev. Lett. **78** (1997) 3999.